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CCGP’s Journal Ethics Guidelines:

The University of Kentucky Center for Applied Energy Research (UK CAER) and the American Coal Ash Association (ACAA) consider appropriate subject contributions for publication in the Coal Combustion and Gasification Products (CCGP) Journal - (www.coalcgp-journal.org). The organizations’ primary goal is to bring together research encompassing the science and technology of the production, sustainable utilization, and environmentally sound handling of the byproducts of coal combustion and gasification.

A contribution accepted for publication should be a novel, original, concise, and well-written advance in the science and/or engineering of solid coal combustion and gasification products. Types of contributions published are papers describing original research results; proceedings of symposia; surveys; reviews; book reviews; overviews of recent literature; and letters to the editor.

The CCGP journal follows the principles of Publication Ethics and Malpractice in order to provide readers with top quality publication standards. Peer-reviewed articles support the scientific method and therefore agreed-upon standards of expected ethical behavior of all parties involved is critical to the CCGP publication process.

Articles not adhering to these standards and/or those articles constrained by such legal requirements as libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism will be removed from publication if ethics/malpractice is discovered at any time, even after publication.

Authors Duties/Responsibilities

- **Original Work**: An author shall submit a manuscript that is original, novel, concise and well-written in the science and/or engineering of solid coal combustion and gasification products.

- **Data Access and Retention**: Upon request, authors will provide raw data in connection with a manuscript for the editorial review process and should be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable amount of time after publication of the manuscript.

- **Multiple or Concurrent Publication**: An author shall not submit a manuscript that has been previously published or agreed to publish the manuscript elsewhere (the exception being its participation and publication in the jointly hosted UK CAER & ACAA’s World of Coal Ash Conference proceedings).

- **Plagiarism**: Any work, results, words of other authors, contributors or sources should be credited and referenced in an appropriate manner – plagiarism is not tolerated and a manuscript that is proven to contain plagiarized materials will be rejected.
• **Accuracy and Citations**: An author ensures that all facts and statements in the manuscript are truthful and that it reflects an accurate account of the work performed by the author(s) in sufficient detail and accompanied by relevant citations to permit replication of the work by others. False or knowingly inaccurate statements are considered unethical behavior and are unacceptable. The author(s) warrant and represent that it does not contain libelous, offensive or unlawful material, and that the content and publication thereof will not infringe on the intellectual property rights of any third parties.

• **Manuscript’s Authorship**: Authorship is limited to individuals who have made significant contributions to the conception, design, execution and/or interpretation of the research study and should be listed as co-authors. Other participants that made substantive contributions to the research should be listed as contributors.

• **Manuscript’s Authorship**: The corresponding author is responsible that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate individuals are listed on the manuscript. Additionally all co-authors should have reviewed and approved the final version of the paper and agreed to the manuscript being submitted for publication. If the corresponding author is not the manuscript’s sole author, and is the signing agent for the co-authors, all co-authors must be informed of the terms of the journal’s publishing agreement.

• **Disclosures**: All authors are to disclose any financial and/or other conflict of interest that might influence the results of the manuscript. Sources of monetary support should also be disclosed.

• **Errors in Published Works**: When an author(s) discover a significant inaccuracy/error in the published work, it is that individual’s responsibility to notify the journal editor to retract or correct the published paper.

• **Copyright Issues**: Should the contribution be accepted for publication in *Coal Combustion and Gasification Products*, author(s) of the manuscript shall grant and transfer to UK CAER and ACAA, for the full term of copyright in the United States of America and elsewhere, all rights to the contribution and any extended abstracts, supplemental tables, illustrations, or other materials submitted for publication in the journal or Ash at Work insert. Transfer of rights becomes effective when and if the article is accepted for publication.
Advantages to Authors who Publish in CCGP

Publication of the papers in two different mediums ensures greater visibility of scientific content.

- The on-line CCGP journal version will serve as a centralized dissemination point that can make an impact on policymakers, researchers, industrial analysts, educators, ash marketers, advertisers and others involved in the coal combustion by-products industry.

- The CCGP insert publication of extended abstracts and short, topical research communications pieces in Ash at Work, with its circulation of over 3000, guarantees the author's research immediately reaches a focused target audience.

- Authors are not charged to publish in CCGP nor will readers be charged to access content from CCGP journal.

- Ability to include data sets, research instruments, source texts, and supplementary material in a variety of other forms such as color photos/graphics, audio, and video. Inclusion of supplementary material to the online journal does not require payment of inclusion fees.

- Following publication of the Contribution in Coal Combustion and Gasification Products, you, as the Author(s), maintain nonexclusive rights to use the Contribution for “Scholarly Purposes.” Following publication, you will retain the right to post the Contribution on your personal websites or institutional repositories provided that the version posted is not the final published version available on the journal. You will retain the right to reproduce the Contribution in the same or revised form as a part of any book you may write or edit. Finally, you will retain the right to use the Contribution for your classroom teaching activities.

- For author(s) working as employees of the U.S. Government or governments world nations, UK CAER and ACAA recognize the prior nonexclusive, royalty-free license of a government to publish, translate, reproduce, use, or dispose of the published form of the Contribution, or allow other agents to do so for noncommercial government purposes.
**Reviewers Duties/Responsibilities**

- **General:** Reviewers are responsible to both the journal editor(s) and to the manuscript’s author(s). The peer review process is the principle avenue by which the quality of research is judged. Peer-reviewed papers play a large role in majority of funding opportunities and additionally the advancement of scientists in his/her field of expertise.

- **Contribution to Editorial Decisions:** Peer review assists the editor(s) in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author(s) in improving the paper.

- **Type of Peer Review Process:** CCGP employs a 3 reviewer system for each paper. Two reviewers each conduct a single blind peer review of the paper. The editor or guest editor will read the reviewers remarks and make a decision to:
  - 1) Revise manuscript (minor, moderate, major);
  - 1a) Ask reviewers to re-view if from major revision;
  - 1b) Re-review minor or moderate submitted revisions;
  - 1c) Render additional editing/proofreading as needed;
  - 2) Reject;
  - 3) Accept final revision

- **Promptness:** Reviewers for CCGP journal are on a volunteer basis and understandable very busy with full-time employment and other scientific professional activities. Reviewers may decline invitations to review a specific manuscript at their discretion. Reviewers are asked to complete a review within 2-3 weeks of the receipt of the invitation letter. If the reviewer is unable to complete the review within the allotted time, please “pass” on the review within the electronic system so that the editor can send the manuscript to another reviewer.

- **Objectivity:** Reviewers should be objective and provide constructive insight about the submitted research. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers’ decision should only depend on the scientific merit, topical relevance and scope to the journal’s subject. Reviewer viewpoints should be expressed clearly with supporting arguments and relevant published work that has not been cited by the author(s).

- **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Reviewers should alert the editor to any similarities or overlap between the submitted manuscript to any other published or “under consideration paper” of which he/she has personal knowledge. Additionally any concerns the reviewer(s) may have in regards to the ethical acceptability of the manuscript’s research contents should also be communicated to the editor(s).
• **Confidentiality:** Reviewers agree that manuscripts and research information/results received for review must be kept confidential, treated as privileged information and not used for personal advantage. The content, data or any other portion of the manuscript cannot be shared nor discussed with others except as authorized by the editor. Manuscripts (or copies) obtained during the review process should not be retained by reviewers after the process is completed.

• **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest:** Reviewers should not review any manuscript in which any conflict of interest may occur from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

**Editors Duties/Responsibilities**

• **Publication Decisions:** The editor(s) decide which submitted contributions should be published in the CCGP journal. The editor(s) take into account the policies created by the editorial board and the publishing organizations - CAER and ACAA. The editor(s) are also constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor(s) can accept, reject, or request modifications to the manuscript and/or may confer with other editors/reviewers in making that decision.

• **Review of Manuscripts:** The editor(s) initially evaluates each manuscript for originality and journal topical appropriateness by making use of appropriate software to do so. Following the preliminary review, the manuscript is forwarded to blind peer review to be by at least two qualified reviewers who will make a recommendation to accept, reject, or modify the manuscript. The editor(s) have the final responsibility for approval / rejection of the manuscript.

• **Fair Play:** The editor(s) must ensure that each submitted manuscript received is reviewed for its intellectual content without regard to sex, gender, race, religion, citizenship, etc. of the authors.

• **Confidentiality:** The editor(s) are responsible for making sure that information regarding manuscripts submitted by the authors is kept confidential. No other individuals will have access to the manuscript other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers and the publisher.

  o Additionally, CCGP does not disclose reviewers’ identities. However, if reviewer(s) wish to disclose their names, it is permitted.
• **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** Unpublished materials/content in a submitted manuscript must not be used for the editor(s) own research without written consent of the author(s).

• **Corrections:** If individuals indicate to the editor(s) genuine errors in a published paper, (which do not render the work invalid), a correction/erratum will be requested and reviewed with the author(s) and shall be published as soon as possible on the online journal website. The erratum/correction and date will be noted as well on the site.

• **Retractions:** Multiple submissions, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data are considered infringements of the professional code of ethics. If the editor(s) and editorial staff find that such behavior has occurred, a retraction may be utilized. If the paper is retracted, a note will be placed on the journal website for the benefit of future readers.

• **Journal Copyright:** UK CAER and ACAA will retain the right to publish the Contribution on the journal web site, in other electronic/on-line systems and/or in the Ash at Work insert; license the Contribution, in whole or in part, for publication or reproduction in print, microform, or any computer-readable form, including on-line databases; authorize reprints of the Contribution to third persons for educational photocopying; license others to abstract and index the Contribution; and approve the Contribution for document delivery. This permission is in addition to rights of reproduction granted under Sections 107, 108, and other provisions of the U.S. Copyright Act. The right to use the Contribution in patents, trademarks, or other intellectual property rights is not transferred to this journal.